



























INN©PATHS	Policy	Policy Evaluation Tool About GLOSSARY SETTINGS								
FILTERS	c	HOOSE A POLICY G	HG emissions allow	ance trading scheme						
Criteria	AS	ASSESSMENT OVERVIEW				2/4 Skip tour				
SELECT +	Effe	Environmental effect	1	20 Cost-effectiveness		Relevance ()		Assessment overview		
Study methodology		54 Technological effect		a Innovation incentives				21 T Other soci	o-political impacta	
Evidence type	-			* * *				*		
SELECT +		Policy type	Study methodology	Criteria	Evidence Type	Sector	Jurisdiction level	Additional policy considered	Source	
Jurisdiction level	1	GHG emissions allowance trading scheme	٩	۲	Exante	Industry	International	×	Meyer and Meyer (2013). Cecilia 2050 Optimal EU climate policy project. WP 2.6	
Sector SELECT +	2	GHG emissions allowance trading scheme	4	♦ ♥	Quant	Power	International	×	Jaraite and Di Maria (2016). The Energy Journal , 37(1): 1-23	
show policy comparisons?	3	GHG emissions allowance trading scheme	4	\$	Quant	Power	International	×	Anderson and Di Maria (2011). Environmental Resource Economics, 48:83-103	
KEY (	4	GHG emissions allowance trading scheme	4	Ŧ	Quant	Industry	International	×	Branger et al. (2016). The Energy Journal, 37(3):109-135	
Impact 0	5	GHG emissions allowance trading scheme	4	<b>T</b>	Quant	Industry	International	×	Sertor (2013). USAEE Working Paper No. 13-106	
No impact	6	GHG emissions allowance trading scheme	4	<b>T</b>	Quant	Industry	International	×	Chan et al. (2014). Energy Policy, 63: 1056-1064	
such and a such a such a	7	GHG emissions allowance trading scheme	4	<b>*</b>	Quant	Industry	International	×	Wagner et al. (2014). In Fifth World Congress of Environmental and Resources Economists. Instance Turker. 2014	





